## State-Wide Pairs Scoring

Why do positions and percentage scores in a club session change, sometimes quite dramatically, when the event is scored across the state? How can a pair who won the club session drop to third place in the SWP club results, and be overtaken by a pair who initially finished fifth? Why does this happen and how can I check the results? If you have any of these questions, read on.

The clubs that play in the State Wide pairs range in size from 3 to 13 tables. A 7-table session is typical and to score a top ( $100 \%$ on a board) in such a club you only have to beat 6 other scores. In contrast, over 400 pairs play in the SWP, so to score a state-wide top you need to beat more than 200 other scores. Clearly this is much harder to do. For example, bidding and making 6S for +980 may score $100 \%$ in your club, but might only score $70 \%$ in the SWP as other pairs in different clubs bid 6NT or take all the tricks in 6S so there were a lot of 990, 1010 and 1020 scores that beat your 980. Most good scores in the club session are not as good when scored across the state. Similarly, bad scores tend to improve when scored state-wide.

Every pair is affected differently. The biggest effects tend to be seen in small clubs. In a 3-table section, scores of $100 \%, 50 \%$ and $0 \%$ on a board are common. When scored across the state, that 100\% may be below average.

The only way to check is to do a board-by-board direct comparison of your two personalised score cards. The link to the SWP scoring website and hence your SWP scorecard is on the SWP results page. For most clubs you can obtain your club scorecard from the results published on the web. If your club does not have a website, you'll need to ask the session's Director to print it for you.

The comparison below shows real life anonymised data from the 2022 State Wide Pairs. The scores are colour coded as follows:

Club scores: green is $50 \%$ or better; red is below average
SWP scores: black is better than the club score; red is worse than the club score

| Board | Opponents | Contract | Lead | Tricks | Score | \% in club | \% in SWP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board 4 | Pair 2 | $5 \% / \mathrm{N}$ | $\checkmark 2$ | 11 | 600 | 95 | 93.8 |
| Board 5 | Pair 2 | 3ヶ/W | aK | 8 | 50 | 80 | 60.2 |
| Board 6 | Pair 2 | $3 \vee / S$ | * 6 | 7 | -100 | 70 | 18.1 |
| Board 7 | Pair 1 | $4 \vee / 5$ | \&) | 11 | 650 | 70 | 67.0 |
| Board 8 | Pair 1 | $3 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{E}$ | $\% A$ | 8 | 50 | 70 | 46.9 |
| Board 9 | Pair 1 | 4•/W | $\wedge$ A | 8 | 200 | 65 | 65.3 |
| Board 10 | Pair 12 | $3 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{E}$ | $\checkmark$ A | 8 | 100 | 95 | 86.5 |
| Board 11 | Pair 12 | 5\&X/N | $\checkmark$ | 10 | -100 | 60 | 72.8 |
| Board 12 | Pair 12 | $2 * / E$ | \& 8 | 6 | 100 | 75 | 71.6 |
| Board 13 | Pair 11 | 3NT/N | AK | 9 | 600 | 40 | 47.9 |
| Board 14 | Pair 11 | 2v/W | - A | 10 | -170 | 35 | 28.0 |
| Board 15 | Pair 11 | $5 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{S}$ | - A | 9 | -200 | 15 | 8.8 |
| Board 16 | Pair 10 | 4 ${ }^{\text {/ } / W}$ | \% 5 | 12 | -680 | 55 | 44.0 |
| Board 17 | Pair 10 | 3NT/S | $\checkmark 6$ | 10 | 430 | 95 | 81.6 |
| Board 18 | Pair 10 | $3 \vee X / E$ | a K | 7 | 300 | 100 | 99.0 |
| Board 19 | Pair 9 | 2NT/W | \&9 | 6 | 200 | 80 | 95.1 |
| Board 20 | Pair 9 | 2a/E | $\checkmark$ | 8 | -110 | 20 | 15.7 |
| Board 21 | Pair 9 | $2 \vee / E$ | \& $T$ | 8 | -110 | 80 | 77.6 |


| Board 22 | Pair 7 | 3NT/W | \&5 | 12 | -690 | 35 | 18.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board 23 | Pair 7 | $6 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{S}$ | A3 | 11 | -100 | 5 | 3.9 |
| Board 24 | Pair 7 | $4 \vee / \mathrm{S}$ | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11 | 450 | 75 | 84.0 |
| Board 25 | Pair 6 | 1\%/E | A 6 | 4 | 300 | 90 | 92.3 |
| Board 26 | Pair 6 | 1NT/W | \& 4 | 6 | 100 | 75 | 57.0 |
| Board 27 | Pair 6 | 4a/N | $\checkmark 7$ | 10 | 420 | 90 | 84.7 |
| Board 28 | Pair 5 | 5\%/S | $\checkmark$ A | 7 | -400 | 5 | 11.0 |
| Board 29 | Pair 5 | 3NT/W | \& 4 | 8 | 100 | 45 | 42.2 |
| Board 30 | Pair 5 | 4『X/E | - J | 8 | 300 | 100 | 100.0 |
| Board 31 | Pair 4 | 4•/W | -6 | 10 | -420 | 5 | 13.4 |
| Board 32 | Pair 4 | 1NT/S | A 2 | 7 | 90 | 50 | 57.3 |
| Board 33 | Pair 4 | $5 * / N$ | \&9 | 11 | 400 | 100 | 90.2 |
| Board 34 | Pair 3 | $4 \vee / E$ | $\rightarrow$ Q | 12 | -480 | 40 | 17.1 |
| Board 35 | Pair 3 | 3NT/E | \&3 | 9 | -600 | 60 | 41.4 |
| Board 36 | Pair 3 | 1NT/E | *2 | 5 | 200 | 80 | 85.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 62.26 | 56.92 |

Compare the club \%score with the SWP \%score on every board. In this real-life example, the SWP \%score was worse than the club \%score on 23 of the 33 boards played. The overall effect was that the pair scored about 5\% less in the SWP than they did in their local club. Note particularly Board 6, on which the club score of $70 \%$ dropped to just $18 \%$ in the SWP. Most changes are much less dramatic than this. Some pairs get a lower SWP \%score than club \%score, for other pairs their SWP score is higher. As a result, it is not unusual in the SWP for a pair who came first in a club session to be overtaken by a pair who came second, third or fourth.

There are other reasons (listed below) that can affect a pair's score. Thankfully they are quite rare.

1. A board is fouled. Some contracts and results are just so far removed from reality that it is obvious that the board played does not correspond to the hand record. When this is detected, the score is deleted. Deleted boards are apparent when doing the scorecard comparison. The club scorecard will have a result for the relevant board, but there will be no corresponding result for that board in the SWP scorecard.
2. The players record the declarer wrongly and a score which should have been awarded to EW is given to NS instead. This should be picked up at the club level, but unfortunately this is not always the case. Such a change could potentially convert a top in the club session to a bottom in the SWP. We investigate every such case thoroughly before making a change. How do you know if this occurred? The club and SWP scorecards will show different declarers and different scores on the same board.

Finally, it is important to remember that the club session and the SWP are two completely different events - the boards played are the only thing they have in common. Many clubs play Mitchell movements with separate NS and EW winners, so you are only competing against half the field in your club. The SWP is a single field event in which you are competing against every other pair in all the participating clubs.

